

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Pediatrics. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 December 16.

Published in final edited form as:

Pediatrics. 2016 November; 138(Suppl 1): S65–S77. doi:10.1542/peds.2015-4268J.

Disparities in the Context of Opportunities for Cancer Prevention in Early Life

Greta M. Massetti, PhD, Cheryll C. Thomas, MSPH, and **Kathleen R. Ragan, MSPH, CHES** Division of Cancer Prevention and Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia

Abstract

Persistent health disparities are a major contributor to disproportionate burden of cancer for some populations. Health disparities in cancer incidence and mortality may reflect differences in exposures to risk factors early in life. Understanding the distribution of exposures to early life risk and protective factors for cancer across different populations can shed light on opportunities to promote health equity at earlier developmental stages. Disparities may differentially influence risk for cancer during early life and create opportunities to promote health equity. Potential risk and protective factors for cancer in early life reveal patterns of disparities in their exposure. These disparities in exposures can manifest in downstream disparities in risk for cancer. These risk and protective factors include adverse childhood experiences; maternal alcohol consumption in pregnancy; childhood obesity; high or low birth weight; benzene exposure; use of assisted reproductive technologies; pesticide and insecticide exposure; isolated cryptorchidism; early pubertal timing; exposure to radiation; exposure to tobacco in utero and in early life; allergies, asthma, and atopy; and early exposure to infection. Disparities on the basis of racial and ethnic minority status, economic disadvantage, disability status, sex, geography, and nation of origin can occur in these risk and protective factors. Vulnerable populations experience disproportionally greater exposure to risk factors in early life. Addressing disparities in risk factors in early life can advance opportunities for prevention, promote health equity, and possibly reduce risk for subsequent development of cancer.

Differences in cancer incidence and death rates may be explained by disparities in exposures to risk factors that, in turn, manifest in differential health outcomes, for example, by sex, age, education, income, social class, disability, geographic location, and immigration status. Disparities can also occur in risk factors for cancer. In this article, we examine the ways various populations experience differential exposure to risk for cancer during early life. By identifying disparities within certain risk factors that occur in utero or in childhood, we may

Address correspondence to Greta M. Massetti, PhD, Division of Cancer Prevention and Control, 4770 Buford Hwy NE, MS K76, Atlanta, GA 30341. gmassetti@cdc.gov.

Dr Massetti conceptualized the manuscript, reviewed the literature, and drafted the initial manuscript; Ms Thomas and Ms Ragan reviewed the literature and reviewed and revised the manuscript; and all authors approved the fi nal manuscript as submitted.

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

be able to identify opportunities for cancer prevention during early life. In this article, we highlight factors that were selected on the basis of several criteria. The factors we examined (1) occurred in early life (in the prenatal period through age 10); (2) were identified by a group of subject matter experts consulting with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)²; and (3) had at least 1 meta-analysis that demonstrated an empirical association with cancer occurrence. Based on these criteria, we selected the following factors: adverse childhood experiences³; maternal alcohol consumption in pregnancy⁴; assisted reproductive technology (ART)^{5, 6}; childhood obesity^{7, 8}; high or low birth weight^{9–11}; exposure to radiation, ^{12, 13} benzene, ^{14, 15} pesticides, or insecticides ^{16–19}; isolated cryptorchidism^{10, 20}; early onset of puberty^{21–23}; exposure to parental tobacco smoke in utero^{24, 25} and in childhood²⁶; allergies, asthma, and atopy^{27–29}; and early exposure to infection and early day care. ³⁰ Table 1 summarizes information on the timing of potential exposure to each of these factors, the associated cancers, and the cited metaanalyses revealing associations between the factors and the cancer outcome. In some cases, the exposure to the risk or protective factor occurs in utero through maternal exposure, whereas for other factors the exposure occurs in childhood. We summarized the demographic (racial and ethnic minority; sex; disability status; age; Table 2) and contextual (economic disadvantage; geographic differences; nation of origin status; Table 3) disparities in the occurrence of these risk and protective factors. We conclude by exploring opportunities to advance health equity by lessening disparities in exposure to risk factors and promoting cancer prevention in early life, thereby potentially reducing cancer health disparities.

DISPARITIES IN EARLY LIFE RISK FACTORS FOR CANCER

Adverse Childhood Experiences

Adverse childhood experiences result in significant levels of harmful stress among children, and include child maltreatment, loss of a parent, exposure to interparental violence, parental drug or alcohol abuse, parental depression, and parental imprisonment. ⁹⁵ Most of the work has focused on child maltreatment, which has multiple negative effects on health (including cancer), both immediate and long-term (Table 1). The CDC defines child maltreatment as any act of commission or omission by a parent or other caregiver that results in harm, potential for harm, or threat of harm to a child, including neglect and physical and sexual abuse. ⁹⁶ Substantial evidence exists that maltreatment causes "toxic stress" that can disrupt the development of the nervous and immune systems and increase risk for health problems throughout development and into adulthood. ^{31, 95, 97} Findings indicate that such toxic stress has detrimental effects on developing chemical, hormonal, and physiologic systems that help individuals adapt to stressful events. ^{3,95,97–99}

Studies have identified populations that bear a disproportionate burden of child maltreatment. Younger children are at increased risk for physical abuse, and abusive head trauma is a major cause of injury in young children.³⁸ Children with certain disabilities are also more likely to experience physical abuse and neglect,³¹ particularly those whose disabilities increase caregiver burden.^{36, 37} In the United States, girls are at higher risk of sexual abuse victimization, but other types of abuse occur similarly for boys and girls.³⁵ The

occurrence of abuse is associated with economic disadvantage. Physically abusive parents are more likely to be poor and unemployed and to have less education than parents who are not abusive. ³¹ Single mothers in the United States are more likely to report using harsh physical abuse than 2-parent families. ³² Communities with high unemployment rates and poverty experience higher rates of abuse. ³³ Geographic differences within the United States have not been studied to yield conclusive assessments because of state-by-state variation in definition of and data collection procedures for child abuse. Although some studies have revealed that racial and ethnic minorities and first-and second-generation immigrants are at increased risk for child maltreatment, other studies revealed that the relationship weakened or disappeared after adjusting for other sociodemographic characteristics, suggesting that socioeconomic status (SES) or related sociodemographic factors account for the relationship between race, ethnicity, and immigration status and child maltreatment risk. ³⁴ Although boys have a greater risk for physical abuse internationally, conclusive research on sex disparities in the United States is lacking.

Maternal Alcohol Consumption During Pregnancy

The CDC recommends abstaining from alcohol consumption if a woman is pregnant or might be pregnant (including women who are trying to become pregnant), but a substantial proportion of women in the United States continue to drink during pregnancy. ^{39, 40} Some studies have revealed that non-Hispanic white women are more likely to drink any alcohol or binge drink during their pregnancy compared with women of other racial/ethnic groups in the United States, whereas others have not revealed racial differences. ^{39, 40} Several studies have revealed that pregnant women who are employed, unmarried, college graduates, or have incomes of at least \$50 000 are more likely to consume alcohol. ^{39, 40} National trends revealed that pregnant women 35 years and older are 2 to 3 times more likely to drink any alcohol during pregnancy compared with those 24 years and younger. ^{39, 40} However, results from a study of 3 rural states revealed that women who were between 21 and 25 years old, unemployed, unmarried, and had either low (\$0–\$20 000) or high income (\$50 000+) were more likely to drink while pregnant. ⁴¹ Alcohol consumption among pregnant women varied by state. ^{40, 74} Compared with women born in Mexico, Mexican-American women born in the United States are more likely to drink alcohol during pregnancy. ⁷⁵

ART

Use of ART has increased steadily in the United States, contributing to 1.5% of births nationally. ¹⁰⁰ ART in the United States reveals demographic and contextual patterns of use that imply differences in exposure risk. Nationally, women under age 35 have the highest prevalence of ART utilization, whereas women over age 44 have the lowest. ⁴² However, in some states, more embryo transfer procedures are performed among women aged 35 to 40 years than among younger age groups. ⁷⁷ These patterns suggest geographic variation in ART use. ART procedures are expensive and are often excluded from insurance policies; as such, women who receive ART tend to have higher education, work full time, and have higher incomes. ⁷⁶ We were unable to find data on differences in ART use for women with disabilities, or disparities in nation of origin.

Childhood Obesity

Obesity in childhood may increase the risk for multiple cancers in adulthood.^{7, 8} Significant disparities in childhood obesity rates are present on the basis of age, sex, race and ethnicity, economic disadvantage, geography, and nation of origin. Obesity prevalence increases with age and the prevalence is higher among Hispanics and non-Hispanic blacks and lowest among non-Hispanic Asians compared with non-Hispanic whites.⁴³ Childhood obesity varied by the adult head of household's education level with higher prevalence among those who did not complete high school compared with those who completed college.⁴³ Non-Hispanic white children whose head of household completed college have the lowest prevalence of obesity.⁴³ Although patterns vary across development, boys have higher rates of obesity than girls overall.⁴⁴ For geographic disparities, there are differences in prevalence of obesity by state,⁷⁸ and first-generation immigrant children have lower rates of childhood obesity than native-born US children have.⁷⁹ Rates of obesity for children with disabilities are 38% higher than for children without disabilities⁴⁵; children with mobility limitations and intellectual disabilities are at greatest risk.^{46, 47}

Birth Weight

Rates of low birth weight are higher among non-Hispanic black infants than non-Hispanic white and Hispanic infants, ⁴⁸ whereas rates of high birth weight are highest among American Indian and Alaska Native infants compared with white, Hispanic, Asian or Pacific Islander, and black infants. ⁴⁹ Male infants are more likely to be high birth weight. ⁵⁰ Women younger than 25 and older than 45 are more likely to have low birth weight infants, across all races and ethnicities, ⁴⁸ and older women have higher rates of high birth weight. ⁵¹ There are also socioeconomic disparities in risk for low birth weight. Women who are single or have lower educational attainment are more likely to have low birth weight infants, ⁸⁰ whereas married women are more likely to have high birth weight infants. ⁸¹ Some states, such as Alabama, Louisiana, and Mississippi, have higher rates of low birth weight births than the national average. ⁴⁸ Compared with women born in Mexico, US-born Mexican-American women are at a higher risk of delivering low birth weight infants. ⁷⁵

Benzene

Environmental benzene exposure from tobacco smoke, fuel evaporation at gasoline filling stations, and industrial sources is absorbed by inhalation or direct skin contact, binds strongly to brain and fatty tissues, and can cross the placenta. Among boys and girls aged 6 to 11, higher levels were found in non-Hispanic white children compared with non-Hispanic Asian children, with no differences by sex. Among adults, higher benzene exposures were found among Mexican Americans compared with non-Hispanic whites and non-Hispanic blacks, those with less than a high school education compared with those with a high school diploma or greater, and those with a lower family income compared with those with higher incomes. These differences in exposure among adults could indicate similar patterns of exposure for children. Variations in benzene exposure by census tracts have been found through spatial correlation analyses.

Pesticides (Including Herbicides and Insecticides)

Pesticide exposure may occur indirectly from parental exposure or directly through their own contact indoors or outdoors.¹⁷ With pesticide use higher in agricultural and rural and inner city areas than in urban or suburban areas, disparities exist in exposure to pesticides by race/ethnicity and urban/rural status. Children in rural and inner-city areas have higher rates of exposure to pesticides.⁸⁴ Bio-monitoring studies have revealed higher pesticide levels among Mexican Americans and non-Hispanic blacks compared with high income, non-Hispanic whites, as a result of geographic distribution of residence.⁵³ Children of farmers may have more exposure to pesticides than children whose parents are not farmers.^{85, 86} Additional disparities by sex, SES, and nativity (foreign-born versus US-born) status exist for parents, which in turn indicate disparities in exposure for their children. On average, farmworkers are foreign-born men and have not completed high school.⁸³

Isolated Cryptorchidism

Cryptorchidism (undescended testicles) affects ~3% to 6% of term and 30% of preterm male newborns and is the most common congenital abnormality of the male genitourinary tract in the United States. Although some predisposing factors for cryptorchidism have been identified (such as prematurity and low birth weight), research on potential underlying disparities is limited. Preliminary research in a study with a small sample size suggested lower prevalence among African Americans than whites.⁵⁴ Risk factors and associated characteristics appear to differ for white and black mothers with sons diagnosed with cryptorchidism.⁵⁴ Because white men have higher testicular cancer rates than men of other races/ethnicities, more research is needed to explore whether the possible association between cryptorchidism and testicular cancer differs by race or ethnicity. Women who are younger and those who have had no previous births are more likely to have a child with cryptorchidism.⁵⁵ Limited information is available on economic disadvantage and cryptorchidism; 1 study in Denmark revealed an association,⁸⁷ but these findings have not been replicated in the United States.

Early Pubertal Onset

Meta-analyses and systematic reviews have documented a possible link between early pubertal onset and cancer risk.^{21–23} Young age at menarche is associated with increased risk for breast cancer in girls, as is peak height velocity, or the maximum rate of growth in stature during a growth spurt.²¹ There are a number of racial and ethnic differences in pubertal onset for both boys and girls. Compared with white or Hispanic boys, black boys have the lowest average age of pubertal onset.²³ Black girls initiate puberty 1 year earlier than white girls, on average.^{56–61} The American Academy of Pediatrics has revealed that all pubertal milestones were achieved earlier in black children compared with whites, controlling for height and weight.⁵⁷ Similar differences between black and white children were found in data from the third NHANES,⁶² with Mexican American children similar to white children.⁵⁶ Lower parental SES is associated with earlier pubertal onset for both boys and girls.⁸⁸ Racial and socioeconomic disparities in earlier onset of puberty among girls may be influenced by higher rates of obesity, exposure to endocrine-disrupting chemicals, and stress

among black and Hispanic girls and those who are poor.⁸⁹ Children with neurodevelopmental disabilities are more likely to experience early puberty.⁶³

Radiation

Exposure to ionizing and nonionizing radiation occurs through natural and manmade sources. ^{102, 103} Because radiation is all around us, ¹⁰² disparities related to radiation exposure are more challenging to identify. Exposure to nonionizing radiation comes through high-voltage power lines, transformers, and domestic electrical appliances, with variations in duration and distance from the source with exposure measurements for studies varying over time. ¹² Because ionizing radiation is used for medical tests such as radiographs, computed tomography scans, and positron emission tomography scans, exposure is dependent on having these tests. For children who do obtain these tests, lower doses while maintaining clinical benefit may lower risk for cancers. ¹⁰⁴ A proxy measure for overexposure to UV radiation is sunburn, and disparities have been observed by race/ethnicity (higher percentages among non-Hispanic whites compared with other races/ethnicities), sex (girls compared with boys), and SES (higher SES compared with lower SES). ^{64, 65} Because UV exposure also varies by region, geographic disparities are present. ⁹⁰

Parental Tobacco Use During the Prenatal Period

Smoking harms nearly every part of the body, including male and female reproductive organs. ¹⁰⁵ Paternal smoking is not generally measured, but a study on expectant fathers revealed that smoking did not change significantly from pregnancy to postpartum. For their partners, smoking was significantly lower during pregnancy than postpartum. ¹⁰⁶ Disparities in maternal tobacco use by race/ethnicity, SES, urban and rural status, disability, geography, and nativity status exist. Higher percentages of smoking before and during pregnancy were found among American Indian/Alaska Native women compared with other races and ethnicities, women with <12 years of education compared with women with higher education levels, and among women with Medicaid compared with women with other insurance coverage. ⁶⁶ Pregnant women who live in rural areas, who have disabilities, and who were born in the United States are more likely to smoke compared with women who live in urban areas, ⁹¹ who do not have disabilities, ⁶⁸ and who were born outside the United States. ⁹³ Variations by state were observed in PRAMS, the Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System. ⁶⁶

Environmental Tobacco Exposure During Childhood

Safe levels of environmental tobacco smoke (or secondhand smoke) do not exist and harmful health effects have been observed in children and adults. ^{107, 108} Variability in secondhand smoke exposure is found by state on the basis of indoor air laws. ⁹² Additional disparities are found by race and ethnicity, sex, SES, and nativity status. Serum cotinine levels that indicate tobacco smoke exposure among nonsmokers were higher among African American children ages 3 to 11 years than other race and ethnicity groups and higher among those living in lower poverty areas than those living in other poverty areas. ⁶⁷ Secondhand smoke exposure is higher among English-speaking households compared with Spanish-speaking households. ⁹⁴

Allergies, Asthma, and Atopy

Children who are non-Hispanic black have higher rates of asthma than non-Hispanic whites, whereas the relationship is reversed for respiratory allergies. Hispanic children have the lowest rates of both asthma and respiratory allergies. Boys have higher rates of asthma and respiratory allergies than girls, and children older than age 5 have higher rates of both asthma and respiratory allergies than children age 4 and younger. Poor children have higher rates of asthma than the near-poor or nonpoor, and rates of respiratory allergies are lowest among poor children.^{69–71} The protective effects of allergies and asthma may be reflected in the epidemiology of the cancers with which they are associated.¹⁰⁹

Early Exposure to Viral Infection

Research has focused on day care attendance as a proxy measure for actual exposure to viruses. Day care participation has revealed some demographic differences in the families who use day care in early life. A 2005 study of children in northern and central California noted that day care attendance lowered risk of acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL) in white children, ⁷² but not in Hispanic children, suggesting potential environmental or biological factors that influence the association between day care attendance and risk of ALL. The proportion of children in day care increases as they get older. Among children ages birth through 4 years whose mother is employed, black children are the most likely to be in day care, whereas Hispanic children are the least likely. Poor children are less likely than children in families with higher incomes to participate in day care in center-based settings. The patterns in higher use of day care among white children and boys mirror the incidence of ALL, in that rates of ALL are higher among white children and boys.

DISCUSSION

In our review and summary of disparities within early life risk factors for cancer, we identified 5 overarching themes that emerge in the literature. The first theme involved the substantial attention that has been paid to documenting disparities on the basis of racial or ethnic minority status, as well as disparities by SES or poverty. Relatively less research is available on sex- and age-related disparities and those due to geographic differences. We found consistent research to suggest that racial and ethnic minority status and low SES/ poverty are associated with greater exposure to early life risk factors for cancer, including adverse childhood experiences, childhood obesity, birth weight, benzene exposure, pesticide exposure, early pubertal timing, and exposure to tobacco in utero and in early childhood. Public health efforts are needed to promote health equity and access to quality health care and social services for populations that experience disproportionate levels of exposure to risk factors. We also note that racial and ethnic minority status and SES may co-occur, yet much of the research has not disentangled the impacts by risk factors. In addition, they have received the greatest levels of research scrutiny, so there is more depth in the literature on these factors.

The second theme involved several prenatal and perinatal risk factors, including alcohol and tobacco consumption in pregnancy, ART use, isolated cryptorchidism, benzene exposure during pregnancy, and birth weight. This clustering emphasizes the critical importance of the

prenatal period in promoting health in utero and throughout the life span. Public health efforts focused on improving maternal and infant health factors could contribute to cancer prevention in early life and over the life span.

The third theme relates to the gaps in research that were identified, both within risk factors and across risk factors. There are a number of understudied factors that may contribute to disparities in risk factors for cancer in early life. We found 2 areas that merit attention to identify vulnerable populations: disability-associated disparities and nativity status-related disparities. Approximately 1 in 5 adults in the United States has a disability. 110 We identified research on disability-related disparities in child abuse, childhood obesity, and pubertal timing. Improvements in the health and wellness of people with disabilities can be made by examining their health status and the extent to which they experience disparities in cancer risk factors early in life. The foreign-born population in the United States was ~12.9% (~40 million persons) in 2010, and has grown over the past 40 years. 111 Approximately 25% of children in the United States have at least 1 foreign-born parent. Despite the growing proportion of children who are either foreign-born or have foreign-born parents, disparities in early life risk factors for cancer among this population have not received much attention. We found studies that addressed disparities in nation of origin among Hispanic or Mexican American populations for maternal alcohol consumption during pregnancy, childhood obesity, birth weight, and pesticide exposure. Among these risk factors, first-generation immigrants have lower rates of childhood obesity than native-born children, and pregnant women who were not born in the United States are less likely to smoke, drink alcohol, and have low birth weight infants. Therefore, there appear to be some protective effects among Mexican American first-generation immigrants in early life risk factors for cancer. However, children of immigrants have higher exposure to pesticides, likely as a result of their parents' occupational exposures or geographic residence. Data on disparities within risk factors related to disability and nation of origin could shed additional light on important opportunities to promote health equity among these populations.

The fourth theme involves distinguishing between modifiable and nonmodifiable risk factors. By understanding how risk factors are disproportionally distributed by demographic and contextual characteristics, we can begin to shed light on the mechanisms that underlie the associations between certain risk factors and cancer. Ultimately, it can also lead us to identifying opportunities to reduce or eliminate disparities by placing emphasis on modifiable risk factors and indicate a path toward advancing health equity through reduction of disproportionate exposure to those factors among the most vulnerable populations.

The fifth theme involves the co-occurrence of risk factors for cancer in early life. Although risk and protective factors are often studied independently with adjustments made for other co-occurring risk factors, we must keep in mind that many of the underlying disparities within those risk factors are also interrelated, and the relationships among them are difficult to disentangle. For example, although the causal nature of the association between childhood obesity and pubertal onset is unclear, girls who are obese tend to have earlier pubertal onset. Most of the data on this association come from cross-sectional studies, which do not address the question of whether differences in body mass predate the onset of puberty or whether pubertal changes drive disparate fat patterns across race and ethnicity. In addition,

pregnant women who drink alcohol are also more likely to use tobacco. In the largest population-based multisite case-control study in the United States, women who smoked during pregnancy were twice as likely to drink alcohol and 3 times as likely to binge drink when compared with nonsmokers, regardless of race/ethnicity. 40 Disparities in alcohol and tobacco use among pregnant women may be interrelated, and certain populations could have additive or multiplicative risk on the basis of the co-occurrence of these risk factors. Additional research is needed to identify how disparities in exposure to co-occurring risk factors are manifested. For example, disparities in quality of and access to health care are likely to contribute to the association between several risk factors. For example, there are higher rates of childhood obesity, low birth weight, and exposure to tobacco smoke among low-income children, who are less likely to have access to health care to address obesity, prevent low birth weight, and provide counseling for tobacco cessation.

Additional Considerations

There are a number of limitations and considerations in our discussions. First, we mainly focused our review on US-based studies, to constrain the context of the studies in the literature. The findings may not generalize to populations outside of the United States. Second, many of the risk factors are interrelated or co-occur, as we have discussed, and tend to cluster among high-risk populations, and it is difficult to disentangle their relationship with cancer outcomes. Therefore, the disparities in risk factor occurrence are not meant to be interpreted independently. Third, there are some challenges in separating prenatal risk factors and disparities within them as they could relate to maternal health or maternal behaviors or to infant-related health. Fourth, the findings presented here are based on a narrative review. The review intends to highlight areas where opportunities to gain an understanding of how cancer disparities may occur as a function of disparities early in life. It is not intended to provide a thorough, systematic review of all risk factors for cancer or all possible disparities in those factors. Finally, we highlight disparities in early life risk factors for cancer; we did not examine the disparities in cancer outcomes themselves. The discussion is meant to inform our understanding of the potential for disparities in cancer by understanding the potential for indirect relationships across factors that define vulnerable populations, risk factors, and cancer outcomes.

Implications for Public Health Programs and Policies

We have discussed factors in early life that reveal possible associations with increased risk for cancer, with a focus on identifying how disparities within these factors may indicate differential exposure early in life. Risk factors in early life are disproportionally experienced by vulnerable populations, manifesting as demographic and contextual disparities. Public health efforts to address these disparities in the occurrence and exposure of risk factors in early life in vulnerable populations present opportunities to invest in ways to promote health equity and may result in reduction of disparities in cancer over the course of the life span.

Acknowledgments

FUNDING: This research was supported in part by an appointment (Ms Ragan) to the Research Participation Program at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) administered by the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education through an interagency agreement between the US Department of Energy and the CDC.

ABBREVIATIONS

ALL acute lymphocytic leukemia

ART assisted reproductive technology

CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

SES socioeconomic status

References

1. US Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. Healthy People 2020: Objectives. Washington, DC: 2015.

- 2. Holman D, Buchanan N, Cancer Prevention in Early Life Expert Group. Opportunities during early life for cancer prevention: highlights from a series of virtual meetings with experts. Pediatrics. 2016; 138(suppl 1)
- 3. Norman RE, Byambaa M, De R, Butchart A, Scott J, Vos T. The long-term health consequences of child physical abuse, emotional abuse, and neglect: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS Med. 2012; 9(11):e1001349. [PubMed: 23209385]
- Latino-Martel P, Chan DS, Druesne-Pecollo N, Barrandon E, Hercberg S, Norat T. Maternal alcohol consumption during pregnancy and risk of childhood leukemia: systematic review and metaanalysis. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2010; 19(5):1238–1260. [PubMed: 20447918]
- Hargreave M, Jensen A, Toender A, Andersen KK, Kjaer SK. Fertility treatment and childhood cancer risk: a systematic meta-analysis. Fertil Steril. 2013; 100(1):150–161. [PubMed: 23562045]
- 6. Williams C, Constantine A, Sutcliffe A. Systematic review and meta-analysis of cancer risk in children born after assisted reproduction. Arch Dis Child. 2011; 96(suppl 1):A6–A6.
- Biro FM, Wien M. Childhood obesity and adult morbidities. Am J Clin Nutr. 2010; 91(5):1499S– 1505S. [PubMed: 20335542]
- Park MH, Falconer C, Viner RM, Kinra S. The impact of childhood obesity on morbidity and mortality in adulthood: a systematic review. Obes Rev. 2012; 13(11):985–1000. [PubMed: 22731928]
- Caughey RW, Michels KB. Birth weight and childhood leukemia: a meta-analysis and review of the current evidence. Int J Cancer. 2009; 124(11):2658–2670. [PubMed: 19173295]
- Cook MB, Akre O, Forman D, Madigan MP, Richiardi L, McGlynn KA. A systematic review and meta-analysis of perinatal variables in relation to the risk of testicular cancer–experiences of the son. Int J Epidemiol. 2010; 39(6):1605–1618. [PubMed: 20660640]
- 11. Risnes KR, Vatten LJ, Baker JL, et al. Birthweight and mortality in adulthood: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Epidemiol. 2011; 40(3):647–661. [PubMed: 21324938]
- Calvente I, Fernandez MF, Villalba J, Olea N, Nuñez MI. Exposure to electromagnetic fields (nonionizing radiation) and its relationship with childhood leukemia: a systematic review. Sci Total Environ. 2010; 408(16):3062–3069. [PubMed: 20451240]
- Jansen-van der Weide MC, Greuter MJ, Jansen L, Oosterwijk JC, Pijnappel RM, de Bock GH. Exposure to low-dose radiation and the risk of breast cancer among women with a familial or genetic predisposition: a meta-analysis. Eur Radiol. 2010; 20(11):2547–2556. [PubMed: 20582702]
- Zhou Y, Zhang S, Li Z, et al. Maternal benzene exposure during pregnancy and risk of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a meta-analysis of epidemiologic studies. PLoS One. 2014; 9(10):e110466. [PubMed: 25333868]
- 15. Pyatt D, Hays S. A review of the potential association between childhood leukemia and benzene. Chem Biol Interact. 2010; 184(1–2):151–164. [PubMed: 20067778]
- Turner MC, Wigle DT, Krewski D. Residential pesticides and childhood leukemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Environ Health Perspect. 2010; 118(1):33–41. [PubMed: 20056585]

17. Van Maele-Fabry G, Lantin AC, Hoet P, Lison D. Childhood leukaemia and parental occupational exposure to pesticides: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Cancer Causes Control. 2010; 21(6):787–809. [PubMed: 20467891]

- Vinson F, Merhi M, Baldi I, Raynal H, Gamet-Payrastre L. Exposure to pesticides and risk of childhood cancer: a meta-analysis of recent epidemiological studies. Occup Environ Med. 2011; 68(9):694–702. [PubMed: 21606468]
- Wigle DT, Turner MC, Krewski D. A systematic review and meta-analysis of childhood leukemia and parental occupational pesticide exposure. Environ Health Perspect. 2009; 117(10):1505–1513. [PubMed: 20019898]
- 20. Lip SZ, Murchison LE, Cullis PS, Govan L, Carachi R. A meta-analysis of the risk of boys with isolated cryptorchidism developing testicular cancer in later life. Arch Dis Child. 2013; 98(1):20–26. [PubMed: 23193201]
- 21. Biro FM, Deardorff J. Identifying opportunities for cancer prevention during preadolescence and adolescence: puberty as a window of susceptibility. J Adolesc Health. 2013; 52(suppl 5):S15–S20. [PubMed: 23601607]
- 22. Lerro CC, McGlynn KA, Cook MB. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the relationship between body size and testicular cancer. Br J Cancer. 2010; 103(9):1467–1474. [PubMed: 20978513]
- 23. Maule M, Malavassi JL, Richiardi L. Age at puberty and risk of testicular cancer: a meta-analysis. Int J Androl. 2012; 35(6):828–834. [PubMed: 22713104]
- Antonopoulos CN, Sergentanis TN, Papadopoulou C, et al. Maternal smoking during pregnancy and childhood lymphoma: a meta-analysis. Int J Cancer. 2011; 129(11):2694–2703. [PubMed: 21225624]
- Liu R, Zhang L, McHale CM, Hammond SK. Paternal smoking and risk of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Oncol. 2011; 2011:854584. [PubMed: 21765828]
- Zhou J, Wellenius GA, Michaud DS. Environmental tobacco smoke and the risk of pancreatic cancer among non-smokers: a meta-analysis. Occup Environ Med. 2012; 69(12):853–857.
 [PubMed: 22843437]
- 27. Dahl S, Schmidt LS, Vestergaard T, Schüz J, Schmiegelow K. Allergy and the risk of childhood leukemia: a meta-analysis. Leukemia. 2009; 23(12):2300–2304. [PubMed: 19657364]
- Dikalioti SK, Chang ET, Dessypris N, et al. Allergy-associated symptoms in relation to childhood non-Hodgkin's as contrasted to Hodgkin's lymphomas: a case-control study in Greece and metaanalysis. Eur J Cancer. 2012; 48(12):1860–1866. [PubMed: 22230747]
- Linabery AM, Jurek AM, Duval S, Ross JA. The association between atopy and childhood/ adolescent leukemia: a meta-analysis. Am J Epidemiol. 2010; 171(7):749–764. [PubMed: 20228139]
- 30. Urayama KY, Buffler PA, Gallagher ER, Ayoob JM, Ma X. A meta-analysis of the association between day-care attendance and childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Int J Epidemiol. 2010; 39(3):718–732. [PubMed: 20110276]
- 31. Krug EG, Mercy JA, Dahlberg LL, Zwi AB. The world report on violence and health. Lancet. 2002; 360(9339):1083–1088. [PubMed: 12384003]
- 32. Stith SM, Liu T, Davies LC, et al. Risk factors in child maltreatment: a meta-analytic review of the literature. Aggress Violent Behav. 2009; 14(1):13–29.
- 33. Coulton CJ, Crampton DS, Irwin M, Spilsbury JC, Korbin JE. How neighborhoods influence child maltreatment: a review of the literature and alternative pathways. Child Abuse Negl. 2007; 31(11–12):1117–1142. [PubMed: 18023868]
- 34. Hussey JM, Chang JJ, Kotch JB. Child maltreatment in the United States: prevalence, risk factors, and adolescent health consequences. Pediatrics. 2006; 118(3):933–942. [PubMed: 16950983]
- 35. US Department of Health and Human Services, Administration on Children, Youth and Families. Child Maltreatment 2006. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office; 2008.
- 36. Hendricks C, Lansford JE, Deater-Deckard K, Bornstein MH. Associations between child disabilities and caregiver discipline and violence in low- and middle-income countries. Child Dev. 2014; 85(2):513–531. [PubMed: 23895329]

37. Stalker K, McArthur K. Child abuse, child protection and disabled children: a review of recent research. Child Abuse Rev. 2012; 21(1):24–40.

- 38. Klevens J, Leeb RT. Child maltreatment fatalities in children under 5: findings from the National Violence Death Reporting System. Child Abuse Negl. 2010; 34(4):262–266. [PubMed: 20304491]
- Marchetta CM, Denny CH, Floyd L, Cheal NE, Sniezek JE, McKnight-Eily LR, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Alcohol use and binge drinking among women of childbearing age–United States, 2006–2010. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2012; 61(28):534– 538. [PubMed: 22810267]
- Ethen MK, Ramadhani TA, Scheuerle AE, et al. National Birth Defects Prevention Study. Alcohol consumption by women before and during pregnancy. Matern Child Health J. 2009; 13(2):274– 285. [PubMed: 18317893]
- 41. Leonardson GR, Loudenburg R, Struck J. Factors predictive of alcohol use during pregnancy in three rural states. Behav Brain Funct. 2007; 3:8. [PubMed: 17291346]
- 42. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, American Society for Reproductive Medicine, Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology. 2012 Assisted Reproductive Technology National Summary Report. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services; 2014.
- 43. May AL, Freedman D, Sherry B, Blanck HM, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Obesity United States, 1999–2010. MMWR Suppl. 2013; 62(3):120–128. [PubMed: 24264501]
- 44. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Flegal KM. Prevalence of obesity and trends in body mass index among US children and adolescents, 1999–2010. JAMA. 2012; 307(5):483–490. [PubMed: 22253364]
- 45. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Overweight and obesity among people with disabilities. 2016. Available at: www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/disabilityandhealth/obesity.html. Accessed May 17, 2016
- Bandini LG, Curtin C, Hamad C, Tybor DJ, Must A. Prevalence of overweight in children with developmental disorders in the continuous national health and nutrition examination survey (NHANES) 1999–2002. J Pediatr. 2005; 146(6):738–743. [PubMed: 15973309]
- 47. Ells LJ, Lang R, Shield JP, et al. Obesity and disability: a short review. Obes Rev. 2006; 7(4):341–345. [PubMed: 17038128]
- 48. Martin JA, Hamilton BE, Osterman MJ, Curtin SC, Matthews TJ. Births: final data for 2013. National vital statistics reports: from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. National Vital Statistics System. 2015; 64(1):1–65.
- Dalenius, K.; Borland, E.; Smith, B.; Polhamus, B.; Grummer-Strawn, L. Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance 2010 Report. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2012.
- 50. Nahum GG, Stanislaw H, Huffaker BJ. Fetal weight gain at term: linear with minimal dependence on maternal obesity. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1995; 172(5):1387–1394. [PubMed: 7755043]
- 51. Boulet SL, Alexander GR, Salihu HM, Pass M. Macrosomic births in the united states: determinants, outcomes, and proposed grades of risk. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2003; 188(5):1372–1378. [PubMed: 12748514]
- 52. Wang S-W, Majeed MA, Chu P-L, Lin H-C. Characterizing relationships between personal exposures to VOCs and socioeconomic, demographic, behavioral variables. Atmos Environ. 2009; 43(14):2296–2302.
- 53. Belova A, Greco SL, Riederer AM, Olsho LE, Corrales MA. A method to screen U.S. environmental biomonitoring data for race/ethnicity and income-related disparity. Environ Health. 2013; 12:114. [PubMed: 24354733]
- 54. McGlynn KA, Graubard BI, Nam JM, Stanczyk FZ, Longnecker MP, Klebanoff MA. Maternal hormone levels and risk of cryptorchism among populations at high and low risk of testicular germ cell tumors. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2005; 14(7):1732–1737. [PubMed: 16030109]
- 55. Thonneau PF, Gandia P, Mieusset R. Cryptorchidism: incidence, risk factors, and potential role of environment; an update. J Androl. 2003; 24(2):155–162. [PubMed: 12634298]
- 56. Wu T, Mendola P, Buck GM. Ethnic differences in the presence of secondary sex characteristics and menarche among US girls: the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988–1994. Pediatrics. 2002; 110(4):752–757. [PubMed: 12359790]

57. Herman-Giddens ME, Slora EJ, Wasserman RC, et al. Secondary sexual characteristics and menses in young girls seen in office practice: a study from the Pediatric Research in Office Settings network. Pediatrics. 1997; 99(4):505–512. [PubMed: 9093289]

- 58. Chumlea WC, Schubert CM, Roche AF, et al. Age at menarche and racial comparisons in US girls. Pediatrics. 2003; 111(1):110–113. [PubMed: 12509562]
- 59. Kaplowitz P. Pubertal development in girls: secular trends. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol. 2006; 18(5): 487–491. [PubMed: 16932041]
- 60. Kimm SY, Barton BA, Obarzanek E, et al. Racial divergence in adiposity during adolescence: The NHLBI Growth and Health Study. Pediatrics. 2001; 107(3) Available at: www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/107/3/e34.
- 61. Slyper AH. The pubertal timing controversy in the USA, and a review of possible causative factors for the advance in timing of onset of puberty. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf). 2006; 65(1):1–8. [PubMed: 16817811]
- 62. Sun SS, Schubert CM, Chumlea WC, et al. National estimates of the timing of sexual maturation and racial differences among US children. Pediatrics. 2002; 110(5):911–919. [PubMed: 12415029]
- 63. Siddiqi SU, Van Dyke DC, Donohoue P, McBrien DM. Premature sexual development in individuals with neurodevelopmental disabilities. Dev Med Child Neurol. 1999; 41(6):392–395. [PubMed: 10400173]
- 64. Dusza SW, Halpern AC, Satagopan JM, et al. Prospective study of sunburn and sun behavior patterns during adolescence. Pediatrics. 2012; 129(2):309–317. [PubMed: 22271688]
- 65. Buller DB, Cokkinides V, Hall HI, et al. Prevalence of sunburn, sun protection, and indoor tanning behaviors among Americans: review from national surveys and case studies of 3 states. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2011; 65(5 suppl 1):S114–S123. [PubMed: 22018060]
- 66. Tong VT, Dietz PM, Morrow B, et al. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Trends in smoking before, during, and after pregnancy–Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System, United States, 40 sites, 2000–2010. MMWR Surveill Summ. 2013; 62(6):1–19.
- 67. Homa DM, Neff LJ, King BA, et al. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Vital signs: disparities in nonsmokers' exposure to secondhand smoke–United States, 1999–2012. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2015; 64(4):103–108. [PubMed: 25654612]
- 68. Mitra M, Lu E, Diop H. Smoking among pregnant women with disabilities. Womens Health Issues. 2012; 22(2):e233–e239. [PubMed: 22265182]
- 69. Moorman JE, Akinbami LJ, Bailey CM, et al. National surveillance of asthma: United States, 2001–2010. Vital Health Stat. 2012; 3(35):1–58.
- 70. Jackson KD, Howie LD, Akinbami LJ. Trends in allergic conditions among children: United States, 1997–2011. NCHS Data Brief. 2013; (121):1–8.
- 71. Bloom B, Jones LI, Freeman G. Summary health statistics for U.S. children: National Health Interview Survey, 2012. Vital Health Stat. 2013; 10(258):1–81.
- 72. Ma X, Buffler PA, Wiemels JL, et al. Ethnic difference in daycare attendance, early infections, and risk of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2005; 14(8): 1928–1934. [PubMed: 16103439]
- Child Trends. Child care. Available at: www.childtrends.org/?indicators=child-care. Accessed August 21, 2015
- 74. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Fetal alcohol spectrum disorders (FASDs). Available at: www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/fasd/data.html. Accessed August 21, 2015
- 75. Harley K, Eskenazi B. Time in the United States, social support and health behaviors during pregnancy among women of Mexican descent. Soc Sci Med. 2006; 62(12):3048–3061. [PubMed: 16403596]
- 76. Jain T, Hornstein MD. Disparities in access to infertility services in a state with mandated insurance coverage. Fertil Steril. 2005; 84(1):221–223. [PubMed: 16009188]
- 77. Sunderam S, Kissin DM, Crawford SB, Folger SG, Jamieson DJ, Barfield WD; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Assisted reproductive technology surveillance–United States, 2011. MMWR Surveill Summ. 2014; 63(10 SS-10):1–28.
- 78. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Adolescent and school health: obese youth over time. Available at: www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/obesity/obesity-youth-txt.htm. Accessed August 21, 2015

 Singh GK, Kogan MD, Yu SM. Disparities in obesity and overweight prevalence among US immigrant children and adolescents by generational status. J Community Health. 2009; 34(4):271– 281. [PubMed: 19333745]

- 80. Swamy GK, Edwards S, Gelfand A, James SA, Miranda ML. Maternal age, birth order, and race: differential effects on birthweight. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2012; 66(2):136–142. [PubMed: 21081308]
- 81. Walsh JM, McAuliffe FM. Prediction and prevention of the macrosomic fetus. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2012; 162(2):125–130. [PubMed: 22459652]
- 82. Zou B, Peng F, Wan N, Mamady K, Wilson GJ. Spatial cluster detection of air pollution exposure inequities across the United States. PLoS One. 2014; 9(3):e91917. [PubMed: 24647354]
- 83. Carroll, D.; Samardick, RM.; Bernard, S.; Gabbard, S.; Hernandez, T. Findings from the National Agricultural Workers Survey (NAWS) 2001–2002: A demographic and employment profile of United States farm workers. Washington, DC: US Department of Labor Office of the Assistant Secretary for Policy, Office of Programmatic Policy; 2005. Research Report No 9
- 84. Carter-Pokras O, Zambrana RE, Poppell CF, Logie LA, Guerrero-Preston R. The environmental health of Latino children. J Pediatr Health Care. 2007; 21(5):307–314. [PubMed: 17825728]
- 85. Curwin BD, Hein MJ, Sanderson WT, et al. Pesticide contamination inside farm and nonfarm homes. J Occup Environ Hyg. 2005; 2(7):357–367. [PubMed: 16020099]
- 86. Alavanja MC, Sandler DP, McMaster SB, et al. The Agricultural Health Study. Environ Health Perspect. 1996; 104(4):362–369. [PubMed: 8732939]
- 87. Møller H, Skakkebaek NE. Risks of testicular cancer and cryptorchidism in relation to socio-economic status and related factors: case-control studies in Denmark. Int J Cancer. 1996; 66(3): 287–293. [PubMed: 8621244]
- 88. Downing J, Bellis MA. Early pubertal onset and its relationship with sexual risk taking, substance use and antisocial behaviour: a preliminary cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2009; 9(1): 446. [PubMed: 19958543]
- 89. Walvoord EC. The timing of puberty: is it changing? Does it matter? J Adolesc Health. 2010; 47(5):433–439. [PubMed: 20970077]
- 90. Chang NB, Feng R, Gao Z, Gao W. Skin cancer incidence is highly associated with ultraviolet-B radiation history. Int J Hyg Environ Health. 2010; 213(5):359–368. [PubMed: 20619731]
- 91. Stevens, S.; Colwell, B.; Hutchison, L. Tobacco use in rural areas: a literature review. In: Gamm, LD.; Hutchison, LL.; Dabney, BJ.; Dorsey, AM., editors. Rural Healthy People 2010: A Companion Document to Healthy People 2010. Vol. 1. College Station, TX: The Texas A&M University System Health Science Center, School of Rural Public Health, Southwest Rural Health Research Center; 2003. p. 237-249.
- 92. Singh GK, Siahpush M, Kogan MD. Disparities in children's exposure to environmental tobacco smoke in the United States, 2007. Pediatrics. 2010; 126(1):4–13. [PubMed: 20587673]
- 93. Perreira KM, Cortes KE. Race/ethnicity and nativity differences in alcohol and tobacco use during pregnancy. Am J Public Health. 2006; 96(9):1629–1636. [PubMed: 16873756]
- 94. Anand V, Downs SM. Racial, ethnic, and language disparities in children's exposure to secondhand smoke. Pediatr Allergy Immunol Pulmonol. 2013; 26(3):144–151. [PubMed: 24066263]
- 95. Felitti VJ, Anda RF, Nordenberg D, et al. Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults. The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study. Am J Prev Med. 1998; 14(4):245–258. [PubMed: 9635069]
- 96. Leeb, RT.; Paulozzi, L.; Melanson, C.; Simon, T.; Arias, I. Child Maltreatment Surveillance: Uniform Definitions for Public Health and Recommended Data Elements, Version 1.0. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control; 2008.
- National Scientific Council on the Developing Child. Excessive stress disrupts the architecture of the developing brain: working paper 3. Updated 2014. Available at: http:// developingchild.harvard.edu/. Accessed October 19, 2015
- 98. Brown DW, Anda RF, Felitti VJ, et al. Adverse childhood experiences are associated with the risk of lung cancer: a prospective cohort study. BMC Public Health. 2010; 10(1):20. [PubMed: 20085623]

99. Kelly-Irving M, Lepage B, Dedieu D, et al. Adverse childhood experiences and premature all-cause mortality. Eur J Epidemiol. 2013; 28(9):721–734. [PubMed: 23887883]

- 100. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Assisted reproductive technology (ART). Available at: www.cdc.gov/art/reports/ index.html. Accessed August 21, 2015
- 101. Carpenter DO, Bushkin-Bedient S. Exposure to chemicals and radiation during childhood and risk for cancer later in life. J Adolesc Health. 2013; 52(suppl 5):S21–S29. [PubMed: 23601608]
- 102. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Radiation and your health. Available at: www.cdc.gov/nceh/radiation/default.htm. Accessed August 21, 2015
- 103. US Department of Health and Human Services. The Surgeon General's Call to Action to Prevent Skin Cancer. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Surgeon General; 2014.
- 104. Pearce MS, Salotti JA, Little MP, et al. Radiation exposure from CT scans in childhood and subsequent risk of leukaemia and brain tumours: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet. 2012; 380(9840):499–505. [PubMed: 22681860]
- 105. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Smoking & tobacco use. Health effects of cigarette smoking. Available at: www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/fact_sheets/health_effects/ effects_cig_smoking/. Published September 4, 2015
- 106. Everett KD, Bullock L, Longo DR, Gage J, Madsen R. Men's tobacco and alcohol use during and after pregnancy. Am J Men Health. 2007; 1(4):317–325.
- 107. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Secondhand smoke (SHS) facts. Available at: www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/fact_sheets/secondhand_smoke/general_facts/index.htm. Accessed September 4, 2015
- 108. Olivo-Marston SE, Yang P, Mechanic LE, et al. Childhood exposure to secondhand smoke and functional mannose binding lectin polymorphisms are associated with increased lung cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2009; 18(12):3375–3383. [PubMed: 19959685]
- 109. Howlader, N.; Noone, AM.; Krapcho, M., et al., editors. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975–2012. Bethesda, MD: National Cancer Institute; Available at: http://seer.cancer.gov/csr/1975_2012/. Based on November 2014 SEER data submission, posted to the SEER web site, April 2015
- 110. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. How many people have disabilities? A tip sheet for public health professionals. Available at: www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/disabilityandhealth/documents/disability-tipsheet_phpa_1.pdf. Accessed September 4, 2015
- 111. US Census Bureau. Census of Population, 1850 to 2000, and the American Community Survey, 2010. Washington, DC: 2010.

TABLE 1

Early Life Factors Identified by a Group of Subject Matter Experts That Have at Least 1 Meta-analysis

Demonstrating a Consistent Empirical Association With Cancer Occurrence

Factor	Timing of Exposure	Associated Cancers	Meta-analyses Reporting Association With Cancer Outcome	OR, RR
Adverse childhood experiences (child maltreatment)	Childhood	Adult cancers	Norman et al 2012 ³	Lung cancer OR = 3.18 All cancers OR = 2.10
Maternal alcohol consumption in pregnancy	Prenatal	Leukemia	Latino-Martel et al 2010 ⁴	AML OR = 1.56 overall; 2.68 diagnosed ages 0–4
ART	Prenatal	Pediatric cancers (all cancers, hematologic cancers, leukemias, neuroblastomas, retinoblastomas, and others)	Hargreave et al 2013 ⁵ ; Williams et al 2011 ⁶	All cancers RR = 1.33; hematologic cancers RR = 1.59 CNS RR = 1.88 Leukemias RR = 1.65 Neuroblastomas RR = 4.04 Retinoblastomas RR = 1.62
Childhood obesity	Childhood	Adult cancers (esophageal adenocarcinoma, breast cancer, others)	Biro and Wien 2010 ⁷ ; Park et al 2012 ⁸	Esophageal adenocarcinoma RR = 1.52 for men, 1.59 for women Thyroid cancer RR = 1.33 for men Colon cancer RR = 1.24 for men Renal cancer RR = 1.24 for men, 1.34 for women Gallbladder cancer RR = 1.59 for women Breast cancer RR = 1.51 for women
BW	Prenatal	Pediatric (leukemia) and adult testicular cancers	Caughey and Michels 2009 ⁹ ; Cook et al 2010 ¹⁰ ; Risnes et al 2011 ¹¹	High BW and ALL OR = 1.23 High BW and AML OR = 1.40 Low BW and AML OR = 1.50 High BW and testicular cancer OR = 1.05 Low BW and testicular cancer OR = 1.34
Benzene	Prenatal and childhood	Acute lymphoblastic leukemia	Pyatt and Hays 2010 ¹⁵ ; Zhou et al 2014 ¹⁴	Leukemia OR = 2.20 Acute nonlymphocyctic leukemia OR = 7.0
Pesticides and insecticides	Prenatal and childhood	Pediatric and adult cancers (leukemia, lymphoma, and brain cancer)	Turner et al 2010 ¹⁶ ; Van Maele-Fabry et al 2010 ¹⁷ ; Vinson et al 2011 ¹⁸ ; Wigle et al 2009 ¹⁹	Leukemia OR = 1.54 Brain cancer OR = 1.17 Lymphoma OR = 1.84
Isolated cryptorchidism	Prenatal	Testicular cancer	Cook et al 2010 ¹⁰ ; Lip et al 2013 ²⁰	Testicular cancer OR = 4.30 (Cook et al ¹⁰) Testicular cancer RR = 2.90 (Lip et al ²⁰)
Pubertal timing	Childhood	Breast cancer in women; protective effect of later puberty on testicular cancer among men	Biro and Deardorff 2012 ²¹ ; Lerro et al 2010 ²² ; Maule et al 2012 ²³	Breast cancer RR = 1.40 Testicular cancer OR = 0.81
Radiation	Childhood	Pediatric (leukemia) and adult (thyroid and breast) cancers	Calvente et al 2010 ¹²	Nonionizing radiation and leukemia OR = 2.28

Massetti et al.

Meta-analyses OR, RR Factor Timing of Exposure **Associated Cancers** Reporting Association With Cancer Outcome ALL OR = 4.70(nonionizing radiation); Jansen-Breast cancer OR = 2.0van der Weide et al 2010^{13} (ionizing radiation) NHL OR = 1.22 ALL OR = 1.11 Antonopoulos et al 2011²⁴; Liu et al 2011²⁵ Parental smoking during Pediatric (leukemia, non-Prenatal pregnancy Hodgkin lymphoma) and adult (lung cancer) cancers Environmental tobacco Childhood Adult cancers (lung cancer) Zhou et al 2012²⁶ OR = 1.24smoke exposure Allergies, asthma, and Early childhood Leukemia, gliomas, pancreatic Dahl et al 2009²⁷; Leukemia OR = 1.24 atopy (protective effect) Dikalioti et al 2012²⁸; Linabery et al 2010²⁹ ALL OR = 0.79Day care attendance Early childhood Acute lymphoblastic leukemia Urayama et al 2010^{30} (protective effect of early exposure to viral infection)

Page 17

AML, acute myeloid leukemia; BW, birth weight; CNS, central nervous system; NHL, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma; OR, odds ratio; RR, relative risk.

Massetti et al.

TABLE 2

Demographic Disparities in Early Life Factors Associated With Risk for Pediatric and Adult Cancers

Page 18

Factor	Race and/or Ethnicity	Sex or Gender	Disability	Age
Adverse childhood experiences (child maltreatment)	Racial and ethnic minorities at greater risk, but confounded with SES ^{31–34}	Girls at greater risk for sexual abuse; gender differences for other types are unclear ³⁵	Children with certain disabilities at increased risk for maltreatment ^{31, 36, 37}	Younger children at increased risk of abuse ³⁸
Maternal alcohol consumption in pregnancy	Inconsistent findings ^{39, 40}	NA	Not determined	Mixed findings for age of pregnant women ^{39–41}
ART	Greater ART use in non-Hispanic white than minority women ⁴²	NA	Not determined	Higher use of ART in younger women ⁴²
Childhood obesity	Non-Hispanic blacks and Hispanic children have higher rates of obesity ⁴³	Boys have higher rates of obesity ⁴⁴	Children with disabilities have higher rates of obesity ^{45–47}	Older children have higher rates ⁴³
Birth weight	Non-Hispanic blacks have higher rates of low birth weight ^{48, 49}	Male infants are more likely to be high birth weight ⁵⁰	Not determined	Young or older mothers are more likely to have low birth weight infants ^{48, 51}
Benzene	Higher rates among Mexican American adults than non-Hispanic whites and non-Hispanic blacks ⁵²	No differences	Not determined	Not determined
Pesticides and insecticides	Higher pesticide levels among Mexican Americans and non- Hispanic blacks than non-Hispanic whites ⁵³	Not determined	Not determined	Not determined
Isolated cryptorchidism	Higher rates among whites compared with African Americans ⁵⁴	NA; this factor is only present in boys	Not determined	Higher rates in younger mothers ⁵⁵
Pubertal timing	African American boys have lower age of onset than whites and Hispanics ^{23, 56–62}	Girls have lower age of pubertal onset ⁶¹	Children with neurodevelopmental disabilities have earlier pubertal onset ⁶³	NA
Radiation	Higher rates of overexposure to UV radiation among non-Hispanic whites ^{64, 65}	Greater exposure among girls ^{64, 65}	Not determined	Not determined
Parental smoking and environmental tobacco smoke	Greater exposure among African American children ^{66, 67}	No differences	Women who have disabilities are more likely to smoke while pregnant ⁶⁸	Not determined
Allergies, asthma, and atopy (protective effect)	Non-Hispanic black children have the highest rates of asthma, non- Hispanic white children have the highest rates of respiratory allergies, and Hispanic children have the lowest rates of both ^{69–71}	Boys have higher rates of respiratory allergies and asthma ^{69–71}	Not determined	Children older than 5 have higher rates of respiratory allergies and asthma ^{69–71}
Day care attendance (protective effect of early exposure to viral infection)	Highest rates of attendance among black children ^{72, 73}	NA	Not determined	Older children are more likely to attend child care ⁷³

NA, not available.

Massetti et al.

TABLE 3

Contextual Disparities in Early Life Factors Associated With Risk for Pediatric and Adult Cancers

Page 19

Factor	Economic Disadvantage	Geographic Differences	Nation of Origin
Adverse childhood experiences (child maltreatment)	Children of single mothers and those living in poor families or poor communities are at increased risk for abuse ^{32, 33}	Not determined	Not determined
Maternal alcohol consumption in pregnancy	Pregnant women who are employed, have higher incomes, and are college graduates have higher rates of alcohol use ^{39, 40}	State-by-state differences in alcohol use in pregnancy ^{40, 74}	Greater use among US- born Mexican American women than those born in Mexico ⁷⁵
ART	Higher rates of ART use among employed women and those with higher education and income ⁷⁶	Some variation across states in use of ART ⁷⁷	Not determined
Childhood obesity	Children of parents with lower income and/or less education have higher rates of obesity ⁴³	State-by-state variation in obesity rates 78	First-generation immigrants have lower rates of obesity than native-born children ⁷⁹
Birth weight	Women with lower educational attainment and single women have higher rates of low birth weight infants ^{80, 81}	State-by-state variation in risk for low birth weight ⁴⁸	Mexican American women born in the United States are at higher risk for low birth weight infants than women born in Mexico ⁷⁵
Benzene	Higher levels among individuals with lower income and less education ⁵²	Variation in exposure on the basis of residence and parental occupation ⁸²	Not determined
Pesticides and insecticides	Higher pesticide levels among low-income children ⁸³	Higher pesticide use in rural and inner city areas ⁸⁴	Children of foreign-born agricultural workers have higher pesticide levels ⁵³ , 85, 86
Isolated cryptorchidism	Possibly higher rates among low SES ⁸⁷	Not determined	Not determined
Pubertal timing	Earlier onset for children of parents with lower SES ^{88, 89}	Not determined	Not determined
Radiation	Higher rates of overexposure to UV radiation among higher SES children ^{65, 90}	Variation in exposure to UV on the basis of geographic regions ⁹⁰	Not determined
Parental smoking and environmental tobacco smoke	Greater exposure among children with low SES ^{66, 67}	Women in rural areas are more likely to smoke while pregnant ^{66, 91, 92}	Women born in the United States are more likely to smoke while pregnant ^{93, 94}
Allergies, asthma, and atopy (protective effect)	Poor children have higher rates of asthma and lower rates of respiratory allergies ^{69–71}	Not determined	Not determined
Day care attendance (protective effect of early exposure to viral infection)	Poor children are less likely to be in center-based child care. ⁷³	Not determined	Not determined